



File. No: KPCTA/FWC/IT & General Equipment/5-10/20/Vol.8 Dated: 17th May, 2021

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR PURCHASE OF IT & GENERAL EQUIPMENT (PACKAGE-A)

| S.No. | Bidder's Names | Conformance of the Product (35) | Additional Features (06) | HR Capabilities (10) | Past Performance (18) | Market Experience (10) | Financial Status (21) | Marks (100) |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | M/s O&A Business Machine | 35 | 03 | 07 | 18 | 10 | 21 | 94 |

Note: Reference to the subject cited above it is stated that two (02) firms submitted their bids for the subject bidding, out of which one (01) firm non-responsive is because they couldn't meet the eligibility criteria as mentioned in the tender notice and bid solicitation documents details are mentioned below. Therefore, the sealed financial bids may please be returned to respective bidder.

M/s O&A Business Machine is technically qualified. Hence, recommended for financial opening.

LIST OF NON-RESPONSIVE BIDDERS

| S.No. | Bidder's Name | <u>Reasons</u> |
|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s Office Automation Group | As per Sr. No. 10 of the mandatory requirement checklist, the proposed specification are not in a |
| | | compliance with a required. |







File. No: KPCTA/FWC/IT & General Equipment/5-10/20/Vol.8 Dated: 17th May, 2021

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR PURCHASE OF IT & GENERAL EQUIPMENT (PACKAGE-C)

| S.No. | Bidder's Names | Conformance of the Product (35) | Additional Features (06) | HR Capabilities (10) | Past Performance (18) | Market Experience (10) | Financial Status (21) | Marks (100) |
|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | M/s Paragon Office Solutions | 35 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 08 | 18 | 71 |
| 2. | M/s IT Chasers | 35 | 0 | 09 | 04 | 10 | 7 | 65 |

Note: Reference to the subject cited above it is stated that two (02) firms submitted their bids for the subject bidding, out of which M/s IT Chasers were failed to get qualifying marks i.e. 70 as per the evaluation criteria of the bidding documents. Therefore, the sealed financial bids may please be returned to respective bidder.

M/s Paragon Office Solutions is technically qualified. Hence, recommended for financial opening.







File. No: KPCTA/FWC/IT & General Equipment/5-10/20/Vol.8 Dated: 17th May, 2021

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

FOR PURCHASE OF IT & GENERAL EQUIPMENT (PACKAGE-D)

| S.No. | Bidder's Names | Conformance of the Product (35) | Additional Features (06) | HR Capabilities (10) | Past Performance (18) | Market Experience (10) | Financial Status (21) | Marks (100) |
|-------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | M/s IT Chasers | 35 | 04 | 07 | 04 | 10 | 07 | 67 |

Note: Reference to the subject cited above it is stated that only one (01) firms submitted their bids for the subject bidding, which was failed to get qualifying marks i.e. 70 as per the evaluation criteria of the bidding documents. Therefore, the sealed financial bids may please be returned to respective bidder.

Hence, recommended for Re-Advertisement.







File. No: KPCTA/FWC/IT & General Equipment/5-10/20/Vol.8 Dated: 17th May, 2021

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR PURCHASE OF IT & GENERAL EQUIPMENT (PACKAGE-E)

Note: Reference to the subject cited above it is stated that two (02) firms submitted their bids for the subject bidding and both firms were non-responsive because they couldn't meet the eligibility criteria as mentioned in the tender notice and bid solicitation documents details are mentioned below. Therefore, the sealed financial bids may please be returned to respective bidder.

Hence, recommended for Re-Advertisement.

LIST OF NON-RESPONSIVE BIDDERS

| S.No. | Bidder's Name | <u>Reasons</u> |
|-------|---------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s Al-Hareem Enterprises | As per Sr. No. 13 of the mandatory requirement checklist, Dealership Certificate and Manufacturer Authorization form is missing. As per advertisement and eligibility of the bidders the firm have less than three years' experience. As per Sr. No. 11 of the mandatory requirement checklist, the original bidding document were not signed and stamp by the bidder. |
| 2. | M/s IT Chaser | As per Sr. No. 13 of the mandatory requirement checklist, Dealership Certificate and Manufacturer Authorization form is missing. |

The Technical Evaluation Report is to serve the only purpose of ensuring more transparency and equity in the bidding process by providing equal opportunities to all concerned bidders to have access to information related to their bids and fair competition.

All the possible efforts have been made to reduce human errors and omission, but still inadvertent errors and omissions may possibly be expected in this list. All the bidders participating in this bidding are expected and requested to thoroughly examine this document, any feedback related to errors and omission must reach the office of the Manager Procurement, KPCTA later than 04:00pm on 26th May, 2021 in writing duly signed and stamped by the responsible and relevant person of the firm.

